UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

MARY ANN HERTZ,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No. 08-cv-864
HUMANA HEALTH PLAN OF OHIO, LTD.) Judge Lefkow
d/b/a HUMANA INSURANCE COMPANY,)
a Kentucky corporation registered in Illinois,) Magistrate Judge Mason
SLAVIN & SLAVIN, an Illinois partnership,)
MARK F. SLAVIN, an Individual,)
PAULA M. WISNIOWICZ, an Individual, and)
SHARI B. SLAVIN, an Individual,)
)
Defendants.)

HUMANA INSURANCE COMPANY'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Defendant HUMANA INSURANCE COMPANY, incorrectly sued as HUMANA HEALTH PLAN OF OHIO, LTD. ("Humana"), by its attorneys, William A. Chittenden III, Julie F. Wall, and Chittenden, Murday & Novotny LLC, in accordance with Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, submits this Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint. In support of its Motion, Defendant Humana states as follows:

1. Plaintiff MARY ANN HERTZ's Complaint for Breach of ERISA and Other Relief ("Complaint") arises from her communications with her employer, Defendant Slavin & Slavin, and certain owners or employees of Slavin & Slavin, Defendants Mark F. Slavin, Shari B. Slavin, and Paula M. Wisniowicz, regarding her group health insurance after her employment with Slavin & Slavin was terminated on July 19, 2007. Plaintiff expressly alleges in her

¹ Slavin & Slavin, Mark F. Slavin, Shari B. Slavin, and Paula M. Wisniowicz are collectively referred to herein as the "Slavin Defendants."

.

Complaint that the subject group health insurance policy was governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 29 U.S.C. §1001 et seq.

- 2. Plaintiff essentially claims that various misrepresentations were made by the Slavin Defendants which caused her to believe that she was entitled to state continuation coverage under the ERISA-governed group health insurance she had with Humana through her employment with Slavin & Slavin. Specifically, Plaintiff asserts that due to the Slavin Defendants' representations that she was eligible for continuation coverage, she did not apply for alternate health insurance and is liable for certain medical expenses incurred after her coverage with Humana terminated on July 19, 2007.
- 3. Based on these claims, Plaintiff asserts three counts against the Slavin Defendants: Count I, characterized "Breach of ERISA," Count II for Negligent Misrepresentation, and Count III for Promissory Estoppel.
- 4. As to Humana, Plaintiff purports to assert four claims against it in her Complaint: Count I for "Breach of ERISA," Count IV, entitled "Breach of Contract to Procure Insurance by Humana," Count V for Negligent Misrepresentation, and Count VI for Promissory Estoppel.
- 5. Plaintiff's claims against Humana must be dismissed with prejudice for several reasons. Counts IV, V, and VI of Plaintiff's Complaint must be dismissed with prejudice because they assert state law causes of action that are indisputably preempted by ERISA.
- 6. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint also must be dismissed because Plaintiff does not assert any wrongdoing by Humana in support of her "Breach of ERISA" theory. Rather, all of the specific allegations of wrongdoing in support of that Count are directed to the Slavin Defendants.

7. Finally, even if Plaintiff's state law claims were not preempted by ERISA, they

would require dismissal because they are not sufficiently pleaded as a matter of law.

8. For each of these reasons, Plaintiff's Complaint must be dismissed with prejudice

as stated against Humana.

9. Humana's Memorandum of Law in Support of Its Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's

Complaint is submitted contemporaneously herewith and incorporated by reference herein.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth above, as well as those contained in its

Memorandum of Law in Support of its Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant

HUMANA INSURANCE COMPANY, incorrectly sued as HUMANA HEALTH PLAN OF

OHIO, LTD., respectfully requests that this Honorable Court dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint for

Breach of ERISA and Other Relief with prejudice as stated against Humana, with Humana's

costs awarded.

Respectfully submitted,

HUMANA INSURANCE COMPANY

By:_____/s/Julie F. Wall

One of its Attorneys

William A. Chittenden III

Julie F. Wall

CHITTENDEN, MURDAY & NOVOTNY LLC

303 West Madison Street, Suite 1400

Chicago, Illinois 60606

(312) 281-3600

(312) 281-3678 (fax)

3

Case 1:08-cv-00864 Document 11

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on April 11, 2008, a copy of the foregoing Defendant Humana Insurance Company's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent to the following parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

> Rebecca L. Weinberg, Esq. Weinberg Law Group, Ltd. 2446 N. Clark Street Chicago, IL 60614 rweinberg@weinberglaw.net

Kathleen A. Sweitzer, Esq. Si-Yong Yi, Esq. Tressler, Soderstrom, Maloney & Priess, LLP 233 S. Wacker Drive Sears Tower - Suite 2200 Chicago, IL 60606 ksweitzer@tsmp.com syi@tsmp.com

William A. Chittenden III Julie F. Wall CHITTENDEN, MURDAY & NOVOTNY, LLC 303 W. Madison Street, Suite 1400 Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 281-3600 (312) 281-3678 (fax)

O:\HU832\41124 Hertz\Pleadings\Hertz - MTD - FINAL.doc